



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,098	10/15/2001	Hiroaki Yoshino	35.G2919	9468

5514 7590 03/28/2006

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO  
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA  
NEW YORK, NY 10112

EXAMINER

JACKSON, JAKIEDA R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2626

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/976,098	YOSHINO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jakieda R. Jackson	2655	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 March 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Amendment*

1. In response to the Office Action mailed December 9, 2005, applicant submitted an amendment filed on March 9, 2006, in which the applicant traversed and requested reconsideration with respect to **claims 1, 8 and 15-18**.

### *Response to Arguments*

2. Applicants argue Keiller does not disclose or suggest storage means for string a recording character string indicating a sentence to be recorded. Rather the description of Keiller refers to an operation of an apparatus after it has been trained, i.e. refers to operation of an apparatus as a speech recognition apparatus to perform speech recognition on unknown speech. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., operation of an apparatus after it has been trained) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicants also argues that Kieller does not compare a pattern of recognized character string (corresponding to the stored recording character string) with a pattern of the recording character string stored on the storage means so as to obtain a matching rate therebetween. The recognition performed in Keiller is used in speech recognition, not in enrollment for the acoustic model. response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that

Art Unit: 2626

the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., enrollment for the acoustic model) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicants' arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. **Claims 1-3, 8-10 and 15-18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Keiller (USPN 6,560,575).

Regarding claims **1, 8, and 15**, Keiller discloses an apparatus, method and system for recording speech, to be used as learning data for recognizing input speech, comprising:

storage means for storing a recording character string indicating a sentence to be recorded (column 16, lines 12-19);

recognition means for recognizing input speech for use as the learning data so as to obtain a recognized character string (input is taken as two training examples: one a new example and one an already existing example; column 15, lines 25-35) corresponding to the stored recording character string pattern (column 16, lines 16-19);

determination means for comparing a pattern of the recognized character string with a pattern if the recording character string stored in said storage means so as to obtain a matching rate therebetween, and determining whether said matching rate exceeds a predetermined level (system checks whether training examples are consistent (column 15, lines 28-30) by computing the consistency scores (column 15, lines 53-65) and comparing the result again against the threshold (95%, column 16, lines 6-8)); and

recording means for recording the input speech as the learning data for recognizing speech when it is determined by said determination means that said matching rate exceeds a predetermined level (if the results are consistent, they are used to generate a model for word being trained (column 15, lines 27-30), so inherently, the generated model is stored (recorded) to some memory means (see also column 16, lines 12-15)).

Regarding **claims 2 and 9**, Keiller discloses an apparatus and method further comprising re-input instruction means for issuing an instruction to input speech once again when it is determined by said determination means for issuing an instruction to input speech once again when it is determined by said determination means that said

matching rate does not exceed the predetermined level (if words do not match, the system required a new example; column 15, lines 32-35).

Regarding **claims 3 and 10**, Keiller discloses an apparatus and method wherein said determination means determines said matching rate by performing DP matching between the recognized character string pattern and the recording character string pattern (dynamic programming is used to determine whether the inputted words are consistent with each other; column 14, lines 48-55 with column 15, lines 54-65).

Regarding **claim 16**, Keiller discloses a speech recognition method comprising:  
a learning recognition step of recognizing input speech, to be used as learning data, so as to obtain a recognized character string (input is taken as two training examples: one a new example and one an already existing example; column 15, lines 25-35);

a determination step of comparing a pattern of the recognized character string with a pattern of a recording character string indicating a sentence to be recorded so as to obtain a matching rate therebetween, and of determining whether said matching rate exceeds a predetermined level (system checks whether training examples are consistent (column 15, lines 28-30) by computing consistency scored (column 15, lines 53-65) and comparing the result against a threshold (95%, column 16, lines 6-8));

a recording step of recording the input speech as the learning data for recognizing speech when it is determined in said determination step that said matching rate exceeds a predetermined level (if results are consistent, they are used to generate

Art Unit: 2626

a model for word being trained (column 15, lines 27-30), so inherently, the generated model is stored (recorded) to a memory means (column 16, lines 12-19));

a learning step of performing learning on a speech model by using the input speech recorded in said recording step (the process described above provides general training of the model; column 16, lines 14-20); and

a recognition step of recognizing unknown input speech by using the speech model learned in said learning step (training data is used in general recognition; column 16, lines 14-20).

Regarding **claims 17 and 18**, Keiller discloses a control program having computer readable program code and a speech recognition method, comprising:

a first program code unit for recognizing input speech used as the learning data so as to obtain a recognized character string pattern (input is taken as two training examples: one a new example and one an already existing example; column 15, lines 25-35);

a second program code unit for comparing a pattern of the recognized character string with a pattern of recording character string indicating a sentence to be recorded so as to obtain a matching rate therebetween, and of determining whether said matching rate exceeds a predetermined level system checks whether training examples are consistent (column 15, lines 28-30) by computing consistency scored (column 15, lines 53-65) and comparing the result against a threshold (95%, column 16, lines 6-8);

a third program code unit for recording the input speech as the learning data for recognizing speech when it is determined in said determination step that said matching

Art Unit: 2626

rate exceeds a predetermined level (if results are consistent, they are used to generate a model for word being trained (column 15, lines 27-30), so inherently, the generated model is stored (recorded) to a memory means (column 16, lines 12-19));

a fourth program code unit for performing learning on a speech model by using the input speech recorded in said record step (the process described above provides general training of the model; column 16, lines 14-20); and

a fifth program code unit for recognizing unknown input speech by using the speech model learned in said learning step (training data is used in general recognition; column 16, lines 14-20).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claims 4-7 and 11-14** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keiller in view of Yu (USPN 6,556,841).

Regarding **claims 4 and 11**, Keiller discloses an apparatus and method for recording speech, to be used as learning data in speech recognition processing, but lacks further comprising presentation means for presenting an unmatched portion



between the recognized character string pattern and the recording character string pattern to a user as a result of performing the DP matching by said determination means.

Yu discloses a speech correction device further comprising presentation means for presenting an unmatched portion (no substantial match) between the recognized character string pattern (character strings) and the recording character string pattern to a user as a result of performing the DP matching by said determination means (plurality if character strings stored in a dictionary; column 5, lines 15-22), for implementing spell checking and correcting applications.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Keiller's apparatus and method further comprising presentation means for presenting an unmatched portion between the recognized character string pattern and the recording character string pattern to a user as a result of performing the DP matching by said determination means, to identify unrecognized character strings (e.g. misspelled words) and to provide a notification or indication (column 6, lines 26-28).

Regarding **claims 5 and 12**, Keiller discloses an apparatus and method for recording speech, to be used as learning data in speech recognition processing, but lacks wherein said presentation means presents the unmatched portion so as to identify the type of error as an insertion error, a missing error, or a substitute error, as a result of performing the DP matching by said determination means.

Yu discloses a speech correction device wherein said presentation means presents the unmatched portion so as to identify the type of error (column 5, line 35) as an insertion error (adding the character input; column 3, lines 46-52), a missing error (? indicates that variations due; column 8, lines 44-47), or a substitute error (character substitution; column 8, lines 3-29 with column 7, lines 41-42 and lines 61-66), as a result of performing the DP matching by said determination means (column 7, lines 11-42), for notification and identification of unrecognized words.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Keiller's apparatus and method wherein said presentation means presents the unmatched portion so as to identify the type of error as an insertion error, a missing error, or a substitute error, as a result of performing the DP matching by said determination means, to identify unrecognized character strings (e.g. misspelled words) and to provide a notification or indication (column 6, lines 26-28).

Regarding **claims 6 and 13**, Keiller discloses an apparatus and method for recording speech, to be used as learning data in speech recognition processing, but lacks wherein said presentation means simultaneously displays the recognized character string and the recording character string on a screen by changing a character attribute or a background attribute of an unmatched portion or a matched portion of at least one of the recognized character string and the recording character string.

Yu discloses a speech correction device wherein said presentation means simultaneously displays the recognized character string and the recording character

Art Unit: 2626

string on a screen by changing a character attribute or a background attribute of an unmatched portion or a matched portion of at least one of the recognized character string and the recording character string, (underlined, bold, italics etc.; column 6, lines 8-18), for notification and identification of unrecognized words.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Keiller's apparatus and method wherein said presentation means simultaneously displays the recognized character string and the recording character string on a screen by changing a character attribute or a background attribute of an unmatched portion or a matched portion of at least one of the recognized character string and the recording character string, to identify unrecognized character strings (e.g. misspelled words) and to provide a notification or indication (column 6, lines 26-28).

Regarding **claims 7 and 14**, Keiller discloses an apparatus and method for recording speech, to be used as learning data in speech recognition processing, but lacks wherein said presentation means simultaneously displays the recognized character string and the recording character string on a screen by causing unmatched portion or matched portion of at least one recognized character string and the recording character string to blink (flashing; column 6, lines 8-18), for notification and identification of unrecognized words.

Yu discloses a speech correction device wherein said presentation means simultaneously displays the recognized character string and the recording character

string on a screen by causing unmatched portion or matched portion of at least one recognized character string and the recording character string to blink.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Keiller's apparatus and method wherein said presentation means simultaneously displays the recognized character string and the recording character string on a screen by causing unmatched portion or matched portion of at least one recognized character string and the recording character string to blink, to identify unrecognized character strings (e.g. misspelled words) and to provide a notification or indication (column 6, lines 26-28).

7. **Claims 19-22** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keiller in view of Koizumi et al. (PGPUB 2005/0131673), hereinafter referenced as Koizumi.

Regarding **claims 19-22**, Keiller discloses an apparatus and method for recording speech, to be used as learning data in speech recognition processing, but does not specifically teach a display control means for controlling displaying of the character string indicating the sentence to be recorded, wherein said recognition means recognizes input speech of the displayed sentence that the user reads out.

Koizumi discloses a speech device that comprises a display control means for controlling displaying of the character string indicating the sentence to be recorded, wherein said recognition means recognizes input speech of the displayed sentence that

Art Unit: 2626

the user reads out (speaks a sentence while viewing the sentence display screen; column 5, paragraph 0053), to retrieve and display sentence templates.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Keiller's apparatus and method wherein it teaches recognizing input speech of the displayed sentence that the user reads out, as taught by Koizumi, so aloe the user to be free from troublesome manipulation for replacing a word (abstract).

### ***Conclusion***

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2626

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jakieda R. Jackson whose telephone number is 571.272.7619. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571.272.7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JRJ  
March 21, 2006



**RICHEMOND DORVIL**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**